

**ADDITIONAL RESOURCES from Roberto Hernandez and Layda Negrette
PLENARY SESSION:
PRESUMED GUILTY - THE CHALLENGE OF JUSTICE IN THE AMERICAS**

Bergman, M. (2003). *Delincuencia, Marginalidad, y Desempeño Institucional*.

Bergman, M. (2006). Resultados de la segunda encuesta a población en reclusión en el Distrito Federal y el Estado de México.

Bergman, M., Negrete, L., Magaloni, A., & Azaola, E. (2009). *Delincuencia Marginalidad y Desempeño Institucional* (1 ed.). Mexico, D. F. : Centro de Investigación y Docencia Económicas (CIDE) División de Estudios Jurídicos (DEJ)

Programa de Estudios en Seguridad Pública y Estado de Derecho (PESED).

Blagrove, M. (1996). Effect of Length of Sleep Deprivation on Interrogative Suggestibility. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Applied*, 2(1), 48-59.

Candel, I., Merckelbach, H., Luyen, S., & Reyskens, H. (2005). "I hit the Shift-key and then the computer crashed": Children and false admissions. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 38(6), 1381-1387. doi: 10.1016/j.paid.2004.09.005

Dixon, D. (2008). Videotaping Police Interrogation. *UNSW Law Research Paper No. 2008-28*. Retrieved from <http://ssrn.com/abstract=1392592> website:

Douglass, A. B., & Steblay, N. (2006). Memory distortion in eyewitnesses: a meta-analysis of the post-identification feedback effect. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, 20(7), 859-869. doi: 10.1002/acp.1237

Drizin, S. A., & Leo, R. A. (2005). The problem of false confessions in a post DNA world.

Ebbinghaus, H. (1885). *Memory: a contribution to experimental psychology* By Hermann Ebbinghaus Retrieved from http://books.google.com/books?lr&pg=PA62&dq=Ebbinghaus&sig=Q28D-zFt_6r_uv9eo14YFShydF4&id=oRSMDf6y3l8C&ots=RjsZRD5UdC&output=text

Fix-Fierro. (2003). *Notes on the Impact of Lawyer Performance on the Administration of Justice in Mexico*. Paper presented at the Reforming the Administration of Justice in Mexico, San Diego.

Kassin, S. M. (1997). The Psychology of Confession Evidence. *American Psychologist*, 52(3), 221-233.

- Kassin, S. M. (2006). A critical appraisal of modern police interrogations. In T. Williamson (Ed.), *Investigative Interviewing: Rights, Research, Regulation* (pp. 207-228). Devor, UK: Willan.
- Kassin, S. M. (2008). The Psychology of Confessions. *Annual Review of Law and Social Science*, 4(1), 193-217. doi: 10.1146/annurev.lawsocsci.4.110707.172410
- Kassin, S. M., Drizin, S. A., Grisso, T., Gudjonsson, G. H., Leo, R. A., & Redlich, A. D. (2009). Police-Induced Confessions: Risk Factors and Recommendations. *Law and Human Behavior*, 34(1), 3-38. doi: 10.1007/s10979-009-9188-6
- Kassin, S. M., & Gudjonsson, G. (2004). The Psychology of Confession: A Review of Literature and Issues. *Psychological Science in the Public Interest*, 5(2), 33-67.
- Lassiter, G. D. D., S. S. . (2007). Evaluating Videotaped Confessions: Expertise Provides No Defense Against the Camera-Perspective Effect. *Psychological Science*, 18(3), 224-226.
- Lassiter, G. D. G., A. L. Handley, I. M. Weiland, P. E. Munhall, P. J. (2002). Videotaped Interrogations and Confessions: A Simple Change in Camera Perspective Alters Verdicts in Simulated Trials. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 87(5), 867-874.
- Lassiter, G. D. G., A. L. Munhall, P. J. Handley, I. M. Beers, M. J. (2001). Videotaped Confessions: Is Guilt in the Eye of the Camera? *Advances in Experimental Social Psychology*, 33, 189-255.
- Lassiter, G. D. S., R. D. Briggs, M. A. Scanlan, C. R. (1992). The potential bias in videotaped confessions. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 22(23), 1838-1851.
- Leo, R. A. D., S. A. Neufeld, P. J. Hall, B. R. Vatner, A. (2006). Bringing Reliability Back In: False Confessions and Legal Safeguards in the Twenty-First Century. *Wisconsin Law Review*(2), 479-540.
- Lindsay, R. C. L. P., J. D. Craig, W. Lee, K. Corber, S. (1997). Simultaneous Lineups, Sequential Lineups, and Showups: Eyewitness Identification Decisions of Adults and Children. *Law and Human Behavior*, 21(4), 391-404.
- Weisselberg, C. D. (1998). Saving Miranda. *Cornell Law review*, 84(1), 109-192.
- Weisselberg, C. D. (2007). *Mourning Miranda*. Berkeley Center for Criminal Justice Roundtable. University of California, Berkeley. Berkeley.
- Wells, G. L., R. S. Lindsay, R. C. L. Fisher, R. P. Turtle, J. W. Fulero, S. M. (2000). From the Lab to the Police Station: A Successful Application of Eyewitness Research. *American Psychologist*, 55(6), 581-598.

Wells, G. L., Small, M., Penrod, S. D., Malpass, R., Fulero, S., & Brimacombe, C. (1998). Eyewitness Identification Procedures: Recommendations for Lineups and Photospreads. *Law and Human Behavior*, 22(6).

Bergman, M. (2003). Delincuencia, Marginalidad, y Desempeño Institucional.

Bergman, M. (2006). Resultados de la segunda encuesta a población en reclusión en el Distrito Federal y el Estado de México.

Bergman, M., Negrete, L., Magaloni, A., & Azaola, E. (2009). Delincuencia Marginalidad y Desempeño Institucional (1 ed.). Mexico, D. F. : Centro de Investigación y Docencia Económicas (CIDE)

División de Estudios Jurídicos (DEJ)

Programa de Estudios en Seguridad Pública y Estado de Derecho (PESED).

Brigham, J. C., & Bothwell, R. K. (1983). The ability of prospective jurors to estimate the accuracy of eyewitness identifications. *Law and Human Behavior*, 7(1), 19-30.

Clark, S. E. (2005). A Re-examination of the Effects of Biased Lineup Instructions in Eyewitness Identification. *Law and Human Behavior*, 29(4), 395-424. doi: 10.1007/s10979-005-5690-7

Clark, S. E., Marshall, T. E., & Rosenthal, R. (2009). Lineup Administrator Influences on Eyewitness Identification Decisions. *Journal of Experimental Psychology-Applied*, 15(1), 63-75. doi: 10.1037/a0015185

Hasel, L. E., & Kassin, S. M. (2009). On the Presumption of Evidentiary Independence: Can Confessions Corrupt Eyewitness Identifications? *Psychological Science*, 20(1), 122-126. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-9280.2008.02262.x

Henkel, L. A., Coffman, K. A. J., & Dailey, B. A. E. M. (2008). A survey of people's attitudes and beliefs about false confessions. *Behavioral Sciences & the Law*, 26(5), 555-584. doi: 10.1002/bsl.826

Leippe, M. R. (1995). The Case for Expert Testimony About Eyewitness Memory. *Psychology, Public Policy, and Law*, 1(4), 909-959.

Malpass, R. S., & Devine, P. G. (1981). Eyewitness Identification - Lineup Instructions and the Absence of the Offender. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 66(4), 482-489.

Penrod, S. D. F., S. M. Cutler, B. L. (1995). Expert Psychological Testimony on Eyewitness Reliability Before and After Daubert: The State of the Law and the Science. *Behavioral Sciences & the Law*, 13(2), 229-259.

Stebly, N. M. (1997). Social Influence in Eyewitness Recall: A MetaAnalytic Review of Lineup Instruction Effects. *Law and Human Behavior*, 21(3), 283-298.

Thibaut, J., & Walker, L. (1978). A Theory of Procedure. *California Law Review*, 66, 541-566.

Wells, G. L., Small, M., Penrod, S. D., Malpass, R., Fulero, S., & Brimacombe, C. (1998). Eyewitness Identification Procedures: Recommendations for Lineups and Photospreads. *Law and Human Behavior*, 22(6).